

S0470105

**From:** "B. Williams" <betzbill@gmail.com>  
**To:** Leslie Heppler <Lheppler@utah.gov>  
**Date:** 6/29/2011 10:37 AM  
**Subject:** Cultural Resource Study for S/047/0105  
**Attachments:** img094.jpg; img095.jpg; img096.jpg

Hi Leslie.

Here are the documents we discussed this morning. If we need more detailed documentation, please let me know and I will keep digging through the files.

The letter references ML 30662. The legal description of this lease is:

Township 3 South, Range 21 East, Uintah County, Utah  
Section 4: W1/2SW1/4  
Section 5: S1/2, S1/2NE1/4, E1/2NW1/4, NW1/4NE1/4  
Section 6: S1/2  
Section 7: N1/2, NE1/4SW1/4  
Section 8: N1/2NE1/4, SW1/4NE1/4, NW1/4, N1/2SW1/4, SE1/4SW1/4

Thanks again Leslie. With your assistance, we are getting ever so much closer!

Bill






Norman H. Bangert  
Governor  
Dee C. Hansen  
Executive Director  
Richard J. Mitchell  
Division Director

State of Utah  
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES  
DIVISION OF STATE LANDS AND FORESTRY

355 West North Temple  
3 Triad Center Suite 400  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1204  
801-538-5526

RECEIVED MAR 27 1992



March 25, 1992

James L. Dykman  
Regulation Assistance Coordinator  
State Historic Preservation Office  
300 Rio Grande  
Salt Lake City, UT 84101

RE: ML 30662 - PROPOSED ASHLEY CREEK MINERAL EXPLORATION PROJECT  
IN UINTAH COUNTY

Dear Mr. Dykman:

This letter constitutes consultation for purposes of the newly enacted U.C.A. 9-8-404 and the Division of State Lands & Forestry's cultural resources rules, referenced as R640-60 (dated 1/30/92). Providing you agree with the Division of State Lands & Forestry's finding of no historic properties, then please sign and date the signature line at the end of this letter, and return to my attention as soon as possible.

The proposed project is a mineral lease (ML) action. Ashley Creek Phosphate Co. originally filed their application for this action 1986, but did not actually undertake the proposed exploratory activities. Specifically, the proposed exploratory activities consist of drilling 11 holes and digging five trenches; a number of access routes into these drill hole and trench locations are also necessary.

An intensive cultural resource inventory was conducted for these activities back in 1986, when the original filing application was made. Please note the attached copy of this inventory report. As stated in this report, no cultural resources were found during the inventory. Providing that the lease developer's activities are

an equal opportunity employer




Mr. Dykman  
March 25, 1992  
Page 2

limited to those areas that were covered by the 1986 inventory, then no historic properties would be affected by the proposed mineral lease action. Please evince your concurrence to this finding by signing and dating the line below.

Thank you in advance for your time in responding to this request. If you should need additional information or assistance, then please contact either myself or Karl Kappe at 538-5508.

Sincerely,



Kenneth L. Wintch  
ARCHAEOLOGIST

KLW:jcl

Attachments

cc: Jerry Mansfield

I concur that project number ML 30662 - Proposed Ashley Creek Mineral Exploration Project - will affect no historic properties, since no cultural resources are found within its area of potential effects.

BY:



James L. Dykman

DATE:

3/27/92





State of Utah  
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES  
DIVISION OF STATE LANDS AND FORESTRY

Norman H. Bangerter  
Governor  
Dee C. Hansen  
Executive Director  
Richard J. Mitchell  
Division Director

355 West North Temple  
3 Triad Center, Suite 400  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1204  
801-538-5508  
801-355-0922 (Fax)

MEMORANDUM  
\*\*\*\*\*

TO: JERRY MANSFIELD  
FROM: KENNY WINTCH *Kenny*  
DATE: APRIL 8, 1992  
RE: ML 30662 - ASHLEY CREEK MINERAL EXPLORATION PROJECT  
CULTURAL RESOURCE COMPLIANCE (NOTIFICATION OF CLOSING)

Please note the attached letter to Jim Dykman of the SHPO. Please note that Jim's signature at the end of that letter evince's his concurrence with our finding of no historic properties for the project. The cultural resource compliance process for this project can now be closed.

However, this closing is based on the condition that the applicant limit its operations to those areas already surveyed for cultural resource remains. If this changes, then the compliance process should be re-initiated.

Thank you for your efforts in this matter. Please feel free to contact me if you need anything further.

Attachment